## Global ocean wind wave model hindcasts forced by different reanalyzes: a comparative assessment

Sharmar V., Markina M., Gulev S.

Shirshov Institute of Oceanology Russian Academy of Sciences



ESA Sea State CCI March 2021

#### Motivation

Disagreement between the wind data sets arise from GCM models developed by ECMWF, NCEP and NASA centers (spatial-time resolution, data assimilation systems and physical parametrizations)

The main **objective** is to perform **a comparison of four wind - wave hindcasts** based on modern reanalyzes by

- establishing the accuracy of the wave height in hindcasts
- presenting the results with regard to global significant wave height (SWH) wave climate and global trend patterns

#### Methods

#### We performed 4 long-term (1980-2019) hindcasts via WaveWatch III (WW3) model

Set-up of the Hindcasts Forcing function Time steps of WW3 integration (sec) Wind wave hindcast with Spatial Resolution of output Model resolution WW3 Reanalysis Data Assimilation (lat x lon)  $\Delta t_g$  $\Delta t_{xv}$  $\Delta t_k$  $\Delta t_s$ T636 L137 ERA5-WW3 ERA5 4D-Var + EDA  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ 1.800 225 900 60  $0.7^{\circ} \times 0.7^{\circ}$ ERAi-WW3 **ERA-Interim** T255 L60 4D-Var 1,200 600 600 60 CFSR-WW3 NCEP CFSR (v2) T382 L64 **3D-Var SSI**  $0.312^{\circ} \times 0.312^{\circ}$ 900 1,800 300 30 MERRA2-WW3 MERRA2 1/2 x 2/3 L72 3D-Var + IAU $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.625^{\circ}$ 1,200 600 600 60 70°N 50°N ST4 physics package 7 30°N Spectral resolution: 25 10°N frequencies, 24 directions ERA5-WW3 Ξ. 10°S - 3 DIA for non-linear wave 30°S - 2 interactions 50°S -1 70°S 3 120°W60°W 0° 60°E 120°E

#### **Global accuracy of wind-wave hindcasts**

#### versus buoy data, satellite altimetry and visual VOS





#### MERRA2-WW3 vs VOS Jan 1980-2017



(Sharmar et al., 2021)

(Sharmar & Markina, 2020)

#### Q-Q plots of wind speed and SWH at selected buoys for 2011 (Jan – Mar)



#### Time series of SWH for case study near Campbell Island



#### **Disagreement in annual and seasonal wave climatology**



- The largest differences in zonally averaged SWH are observed in the Southern Ocean (JJA)
- In DJF the largest differences are attributed to the midlatitudes of the Northern Hemisphere at 48°N and 62°N  $_7$

### Seasonal PDFs for wind speed and SWH



- CFSR shows the occurrence of wind speeds higher than 20 ms<sup>-1</sup> from 1.4% to 5.8% in selected regions
- Similarly, CFSR-WW3 shows the occurrence of SWH higher than 7 m from 5.5% to 17.1%
- In the Southern Indian Ocean the higher occurrence of high wind speeds is observed for CFSR and ERAi, which is also mirrored in the SWH distributions

#### **Interannual variability of global SWH**



 The largest difference amounts up to 0.4 m for SWH (2.5 m in CFSR-WW3 and 2.1 m in MERRA2-WW3)





 95p SWH show a difference of up to 0.6 m for SWH (4.2 m in CFSR-WW3 and 3.6 m in MERRA2-WW3)

#### Linear trends in annual mean wind speed and SWH (1980-2019)



Zonally averaged trends in mean SWH

0.10

0.05

ERA5-WW3

ERAi-WW3

CFSR-WW3

MERRA2-WW3

# Intercomparison of trends between hindcasts and multiplatform satellite datasets (1985-2018)







## **Intercomparison of trends between hindcasts and two century-long reanalyzes (1985-2010)**





#### Linear trends in annual mean wind speed and SWH (1980-2019)



#### Agreement in sign of linear trends among reanalyzes and hindcasts



- In the North Atlantic midlatitudes and subtropics, western South Atlantic midlatitudes and the tropical South Pacific all datasets agree on the positive sign of linear trends in mean wind speed and SWH demonstrating the robustness of this patterns.
- There is also an agreement in all four reanalyzes on the negative trends in wind speeds over the eastern North Pacific midlatitudes, which is translated into the negative trends in SWH.
- The four datasets do not agree on the sign of the trend in extreme wind speeds and in SWH in the eastern North Atlantic midlatitudes

### **Regional consistency of sign of linear trend on different time segments**

S≷

[+][-]

4

3

2



- In the Northeast Pacific all datasets agree on the negative trends in mean SWH over the entire period, and these trends are statistically significant in all hindcasts for 1991 - 2006
- There is little agreement on the sign of trends in the mean SWH for 1980–2004
- There is an agreement on positive trends in the Northeast Atlantic in mean SWH over the beginning (1980–1998) and the end (2001–2019) of the analyzed period

#### Point correlation between detrended time series of annual wind speed and SWH



**ERA5 versus ERAI** 



ERA5 versus MERRA2









#### ERA5-WW3 versus MERRA2-WW3



Correlation coefficient

-1.00

- 0.95

-0.90

-0.85

0.80

-0.75

L0.70

- The correlations are generally higher in the NH, exceeding 0.9 nearly everywhere
- In the SH, the interannual variability in wind speeds is more consistent in ERA5 and ERAi, while in the Southern Ocean ERA5 is also closely correlated with MERRA2
- The largest correlation coefficients for both wind speeds and waves are observed in the eastern parts of the basins in midlatitudes

### Conclusions

- Four wind wave hindcasts (CFSR-WW3, ERA5-WW3, MERRA2-WW3, ERAi-WW3) have been developed for 1980-2019
- They are made freely available to the users at 6-hourly time resolution: <u>https://wave-hindcast.ocean.ru</u>
- CFSR and CFSR-WW3 show the largest magnitudes of the mean and extreme wind speeds and SWH. The largest differences between CFSR and ERA5 in the extratropics for annual characteristics amount to 2.4 ms<sup>-1</sup> for wind speeds and 1.2 m for wave heights
- CFSR and CFSR-WW3 stand out with respect to remaining datasets, showing mostly negative trends in both mean and extreme wind speeds and SWH in the Southern Ocean, central Pacific, equatorial and tropical Atlantic and Indian Oceans where the remaining reanalyzes and hindcasts demonstrate upward changes.