



+

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only

#### ⇒ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

\*

1

• esa

**Objective:** Compare performance of the different algorithms/product and validate river discharge time series

#### Validate River Discharge (Lead Hydro Matters)

- Validation:
  - With Cal/Val in-situ data over validation period
  - With independent in-situ data
- Errors first prior to an end-to-end error budget:
  - WSE errors between altimetry and in-situ data
  - Quantile approach time lag between Q and WSE & daily vs monthly
  - Rating curve algorithm

### **Consistency analysis and round robin (Lead CLS)**

- Format: CCI data Standard
- Time/space resolution: Completeness and spatial coverage
- Errors (in situ comparison): Discharge products are compared to in situ data (RMSE, Pearson, Bias, Nash, KGE)



Available in-situ discharge data for each station <u>used</u> to setup satellitebased RD methodology.

- > 9 databases
- > 53 stations with in-situ data



#### With Independent in-situ data

Available in-situ discharge data for each station **<u>not used</u>** to setup satellite-based RD methodology.

- 6 databases
- > 16 stations with in-situ data





- Identify overlap period between merge WSE from altimeters and insitu discharge = closest date with time gap < 24H\_\_\_\_\_</li>
- Divided this common period into Cal/Val periods
- First 1/3 part = Validation period (Red)
- Last 2/3 parts = Calibration period (Blue)

Validation period

All period (cal/val)



#### With Independent in-situ data

- Identify overlap period between satellite-based RD products independent in-situ discharge = closest date with time gap < 24H</li>
  - Over all available stations per products
  - Over common stations between products



#### With Independent in-situ data

5



#### With Independent in-situ data

# • esa



## · e esa



9



#### With Cal/Val in-situ data

- Over satellite-based RD-cal products (21 stations)

With Independent in-situ data



Over satellite-based RD-cal products (21 stations) : NRMSE < 15 %</li>
RD-multi able to add some points where alti is not available



10



- Over satellite-based RD-cal products (21 stations) : NRMSE < 15 %

- RD-multi able to add some points where alti is not available
- RD-alti able to better catch the high variability





### With Independent in-situ data

#### All period over available stations for all RD products



- Validation with independent in-situ data: (11 stations)

- Over satellite-based RD-cal products (21 stations) : NRMSE < 15 %
- RD-multi able to add some points where alti is not available
- RD-alti able to better catch the high variability

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

12





#### With Independent in-situ data



Validation with independent in-situ data: (11 stations) : NRMSE < 30 %</li>
RD-alti able to provide a good estimation of the temporal variability with the flood events but there is still outliers

- **RD-multi less efficient** than RD-alti and do not catch the extreme events over the same period **but can provide more years of observation** 

#### **ABN** database





#### With Independent in-situ data

Validation with independent in-situ data: (11 stations) : NRMSE < 30 %</li>
RD-alti able to provide a good estimation of the temporal variability

with the flood events but there is still outliers

RD-multi less efficient than RD-alti and do not catch the extreme events over the same period but can provide more years of observation
 RD-alti able to provide a good estimation of the RD over the arctic basin especially if we take into account the associated uncertainty
 RD-multi [uncal-CDF] difficulty to observed frozen period masked out in the multi indices calculation - probability of snow by MODIS



#### ArcticGRO database



#### Uncertainty propagation

- Essential for assessing the reliability of RD estimations
- **Method**: Gaussian error propagation quantifies uncertainties in parameters a, WSE, b, and z0.
- **Assumptions**: Assumes parameter uncertainties are independent and based on linearization.
- Average Uncertainty:
  - Sensor changes over time.
  - Misinterpretation of altimeter data.
  - Challenges with rating curves and spatial disparities.
  - Increased sensitivity during extreme flow events.





#### **Uncertainty propagation**

- Essential for assessing the reliability of RD estimations
- Method: Gaussian error propagation quantifies uncertainties in parameters a, WSE, b, and z0.
- **Assumptions**: Assumes parameter uncertainties are independent and based on linearization.
- Average Uncertainty:
  - Sensor changes over time.
  - Misinterpretation of altimeter data.
  - Challenges with rating curves and spatial disparities.
  - Increased sensitivity during extreme flow events.

#### Error from using Quantile approach vs. Overlap approach



- Non-Overlap Approach: Median KGE = 0.62 , NRMSE = 14.0%
- Overlap Approach: Median KGE = 0.90 , NRMSE = 9.9%
- Larger time gaps (> 10years) between Q and WSE data lead to decreased statistical performance, particularly in rivers with high variability
- Quantile approach = sensitive to temporal distribution of hydrological events: leading to variability in performance across different stations and periods.



#### 🛛 🔜 🛃 🚍 💳 🛶 📲 🔚 📲 🔚 📲 🚍 🛻 🚳 🛌 📲 🗮 📥 🖓

#### **Objective:** Compare performance of the different algorithms/product and validate river discharge time series

#### Validate River Discharge (Lead Hydro Matters)

- Validation:
  - With Cal/Val in-situ data over validation period
  - With independent in-situ data
- Errors first prior to an end-to-end error budget:
  - WSE errors between altimetry and in-situ data
  - Quantile approach time lag between Q and WSE & daily vs monthly
  - Rating curve algorithm

#### **Consistency analysis and round robin (Lead CLS)**

- Format: CCI data Standard
- Time/space resolution: Completeness and spatial coverage
- Errors (in situ comparison): Discharge products are compared to in situ data (RMSE, Pearson, Bias, Nash, KGE)



- Metrics computation from discharge estimates and in situ timeseries
- Example with the Obidos station from RD-alti product





Obidos full period example. RD-Alti



- Metrics computation from discharge estimates and in situ timeseries
- Example with the Obidos station from RD-alti product





### Obidos validation period example. RD-Alti





- Better results over the full period (NSE median of 0.79) than the validation period (NSE median of 0.60)
- Validation over the calibration period shows greater results Recent period was used for calibration. Past period for validation
- Altimetry data over ERS or Envisat period is less accurate than recent period with Jason-3, Sentinel3A/B and Sentinel6A



### Uncertainties w.r.t errors

• Example with the Obidos station from RD-alti product

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} * \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\frac{1}{O_i} * (U_i - |O_i - S_i|))^2 * 100\%}$ 

 $U_i$  the simulation uncertainty --  $S_i$  the simulation --  $O_i$  is the observation



Uncertainties evolution at Obidos station for RD-alti discharge estimates (left panel, 12% in average) and differences w.r.t in situ (right panel, 7% in average)

#### THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY → THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY





Comparisons between uncertainties and errors of RD-alti discharge estimates

- Products uncertainties (RD-alti) quite consistent w.r.t error
- Differences about a few tens of percent (median value of 30%)
- Uncertainties w.r.t errors are more consistent during the calibration period
- The differences between errors and uncertainties are correlated with the uncertainty values

=> the greater the uncertainty, the greater the difference w.r.t the errors





- Datasets
  - RD-alti
  - RD-multi (BESTFIT, COPULA. UNCAL)
- Period:
  - Full period
  - Validation period

"cal Copula" and

Monthly average

- RD-alti: KGE 0.78 and NRMSE 7.3% as median values with 38 stations. Results are slightly worse with monthly averages (~5% decrease in KGE, 37% increase in NRMSE) => need for better temporal sampling
- RD-multi: KGE 0.4 and NRMSE 10.8% as median values with 24 stations. Monthly averaging improves results (~15% increase in KGE, ~35% decrease in NRMSE for cal-BestFit) => need for noise reduction
- RD-alti and RD-multi offer complementary benefits, with RD-multi's better temporal sampling and noise reduction with monthly averaging enhancing climate study discharge time series



- The CCI River Discharge Products (CRDP) demonstrate a high level of accuracy and reliability compared to other satellite-based and modeled discharge time series
- Better results for RD-alti than RD-multi when comparing to in situ data (NSE, NRMSE, KGE ...)
- **RD-alti limitations:** the non-overlap method used for estimation introduces some level of uncertainty. Main sources of uncertainty should be highlighted (oldest altimeter data, bias resolution methods). Need for better temporal sampling
- **RD-multi limitations:** difficulties separating land, vegetation, and water signals. Algorithms could be improved and other ancillary data sources (e.g. temperature data) should be used. Need for noise reduction
- Uncertainty:
  - RD-alti: Uncertainties are available. Quite good consistency between errors and uncertainties. Ongoing tasks to provide "end to end" error budget
  - RD-multi: Need to be implemented
- RD-alti and RD-multi: leading options for studying river dynamics and for water resource management at global and regional scales
- Ongoing tasks to provide a merged dataset (with RD-alti and multi) with the latest products versions

#### 



climate.esa.int/projects/river-discharge

#### 

European Space Agency



DATA

RSEG Comparison: Only satellite-based discharge data considered (flags 1, 2, 3). Time Series Issues:

- Short Series: Some stations, like the Amazon, have limited satellite data.
- Data Gaps: Some stations end earlier, not always due to GRDC data availability.

#### ● 1 ● 2 ● 3





#### DATA

RSEG Comparison: Only satellite-based discharge data considered (flags 1, 2, 3). Time Series Issues:

- Short Series: Some stations, like the Amazon, have limited satellite data.
- Data Gaps: Some stations end earlier, not always due to GRDC data availability.

#### RESULTS

- All methods in the CCI+ RD project show better efficiency compared to the global RSEG database (monthly res)
- Reduced Disparity: Methods exhibit less disparity in results
- Calibrated Versions: Show the most significant improvements



#### 💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔤 🛻 🔯 🖿 📲 🛨



#### DATA

RSEG Comparison: Only satellite-based discharge data considered (flags 1, 2, 3). Time Series Issues:

- Short Series: Some stations, like the Amazon, have limited satellite data.
- Data Gaps: Some stations end earlier, not always due to GRDC data availability.

#### RESULTS

- All methods in the CCI+ RD project show better efficiency compared to the global RSEG database (monthly res)
- Reduced Disparity: Methods exhibit less disparity in results
- Calibrated Versions: Show the most significant improvements
- <u>Comparison RD-alti vs RSEG</u>
- **Better Accuracy**: RD-alti demonstrates higher accuracy in matching in-situ discharge data compared to the RSEG database
- **Consistent Performance**: RD-alti consistently outperforms RSEG across different stations and time periods, indicating its reliability in estimating river discharge



#### 💳 🖬 🚼 💳 🛶 📲 🏣 🔜 📲 🔚 📰 🛻 🚱 🛌 👘 📥 🚱



#### DATA

RSEG Comparison: Only satellite-based discharge data considered (flags 1, 2, 3). Time Series Issues:

- Short Series: Some stations, like the Amazon, have limited satellite data.
- Data Gaps: Some stations end earlier, not always due to GRDC data availability.

#### RESULTS

- All methods in the CCI+ RD project show better efficiency compared to the global RSEG database (monthly res)
- Reduced Disparity: Methods exhibit less disparity in results
- Calibrated Versions: Show the most significant improvements
- <u>Comparison RD-alti vs RSEG</u>
- **Better Accuracy**: RD-alti demonstrates higher accuracy in matching in-situ discharge data compared to the RSEG database
- **Consistent Performance**: RD-alti consistently outperforms RSEG across different stations and time periods, indicating its reliability in estimating river discharge
- <u>Comparison RD-multi vs RSEG</u>

- **Better Performance**: RD-multi consistently outperforms RSEG data when compared with in-situ observations = higher accuracy in estimating RD

- Calibrated Approach Enhancement: Calibrated RD approaches = better performance compared to uncalibrated ones, indicating their advantage in providing more accurate estimations, especially during peak RD events





# • esa

#### With GloFAS

- GIOFAS Overview: GIOFAS, part of Copernicus CEMS, detects global floods using LISFLOOD model with meteorological data.
- Results Analysis:
  - - Discrepancies: Some stations show discrepancies between RD products and GloFAS, indicating inconsistencies in flood detection.
  - Outliers: Significant differences observed at certain stations suggest limitations in RD product accuracy.
  - - RD-alti Superiority: RD-alti outperforms RD-multi, showing potential for improved flood monitoring.
  - - Enhanced Monitoring: RD-alti and RD-multi complement GloFAS, enhancing flood prediction for better early warning systems.

